Exhibit D  $_1$ 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

QUANTUM COMMUNICATIONS, LTD,

Plaintiff

: AMENDED COMPLAINT

VS

: CASE NO. 1:17cv1640

:

EAGLE FORUM, EAGLE FORUM

•

: (Honorable Yvette Kane)

EDUCATION AND LEGAL DEFENSE

FUND, IAN A. NORTHON, ROETZEL:

AND ANDRESS, LPA, and EDWARD :

MARTIN, JR.,

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants :

\* \* \* \* \*

August 23, 2019

\* \* \* \* \*

Oral Deposition of Charlie Gerow, held in the offices of PREMIER REPORTING, LLC, 112 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17101, commencing at 1:42 p.m., on the above date, before Colleen V. Wentz, RMR, CRR, a Professional Court Reporter and a Notary Public of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

PREMIER REPORTING, LLC (717) 243-9770 linda@premierreportingllc.com

8 South Hanover Street 112 Market Street

Suite 201 Suite 406

Carlisle, PA 17013 Harrisburg, PA 17101

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FRANK G. FINA LAW OFFICE
2	1000 Germantown Pike, Suite D-3
3	Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania 19462 BY: FRANK FINA, ESQUIRE
4	(484) 681-9387
_	fgflegal@outlook.com
5	Counsel for the Plaintiff
6	Counsel for the framefit
-	ANDREW L. SCHLAFLY LAW
7	939 Old Chester Road Far Hills, New Jersey 07931
8	BY: ANDREW SCHLAFLY, ESQUIRE
0	(908) 719-8608
9	Counsel for the Defendant
10	And Counterclaim Plaintiff Eagle Forum
1 1	Education and Legal Defense Fund and
11	Defendant Edward R. Martin, Jr.
12	KLEINBARD
13	Three Logan Station
1 1	1717 Arch Street, 5th Floor
14	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 BY: EDWARD BUTKOVITZ, ESQUIRE
15	(215) 568-2000
1 (	ebutkovitz@kleinbard.com
16	Counsel For Eagle Forum
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

,			
1		INDEX	
2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION	PAGE
3	Charlie Gerow	By Mr. Schlafly	4
4	Charlie Gerow	By Mr. Butkovitz	80
5	Charlie Gerow	By Mr. Fina	90
6	Charlie Gerow	By Mr. Schlafly	92
7	Charlie Gerow	By Mr. Fina	98
8		EXHIBITS	
9			
10	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
11	Gerow Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition	4
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS			
2	(Proceedings commenced at 1:42 p.m.)			
3	* * * *			
4	CHARLIE GEROW, after having been duly			
5	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:			
6	* * * *			
7	EXAMINATION			
8	BY MR. SCHLAFLY:			
9	Q. Mr. Gerow, have you ever been deposed			
10	before?			
11	A. I have.			
12	Q. About how many times?			
13	A. I think once.			
14	Q. Was it in connection with Quantum			
15	Communications?			
16	A No.			
17	Q. Do you understand the process of the			
18	deposition?			
19	A. I do.			
20	MR. SCHLAFLY: Let me introduce, as Gerow			
21	Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition. She's going to			
22	mark it. I'll ask you if you've seen that before.			
23	(At this time, Gerow Exhibit 1 was marked			
24	for identification.)			
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.			

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And do you recall what the date of that conversation was in -- with more precision than what it says here?
- A. No. I'm sure that I could have my recollection refreshed. But I don't recall the specific date three years later.
  - Q. Was anyone else on that conversation?
- A. I don't know. There may have been. There may have been by speaker phone in our -- pardon me -- in our conference room, as we often did when we spoke with Ed.
  - Q. And who else might have been on that call?
- A. Ken Robinson, Kevin Harley, myself, perhaps Ed Rollins. He often joined us, as well. But there may have been others.
- Q. Was Ed Rollins in this office very often around that time?
- A. No.
- 20 Q. So it would be unlikely that Ed Rollins was 21 in the room on that call, right?
  - A. Highly unlikely. Yeah. In fact, I can say with pretty much assuredness that he was not. He may have been on the phone, though.
  - Q. Were other terms of this alleged agreement

discussed, such as the right to terminate it?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.1

24

2.5

call?

- Q. Were terms, such as when work product would be delivered, discussed?
  - A. No.
- Q. Were terms, such as the specific scope of the work to be performed, rather the in general terms, but was there specific work that was discussed in this conversation?

MR. BUTKOVITZ: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: There were several specifics discussed at that point. But Ed Martin made it very clear that it was going to be an evolving scope of work, that he would transmit to us on an ongoing basis. He was particularly concerned about having himself identified and branded as the successor to Mrs.

Schlafly, and he wanted to make sure that that was one

- of the things that we were constantly keeping in mind.

  BY MR. SCHLAFLY:
- Q. Did you discuss the film projects on this
- A. We discussed the possibility of doing it, yes.
  - Q. Did you discuss how many hours Quantum Communications would spend on the project?

done without a written agreement.

- Q. But it's safe to say that in most of your client relationships, there's a written agreement?
- A. I would say most, but not necessarily appreciably beyond 50 percent.
- Q. And when there is a written agreement, is that typically entered into after there's some sort of oral discussion as to the terms?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.5

- Q. In this case, was there made -- was there any effort made to follow up on this discussion that's described in paragraph 17 with a written agreement?
- A. I don't recall that there was, because we already had a written agreement.
- Q. Was there any e-mail, any follow-up e-mail memorializing what's alleged here in paragraph 17?
  - A. I don't recall that there was.
- Q. Was it your understanding that \$20,000 would be paid at the outset of this alleged agreement?
- A. On our first billing, yes.
- Q. And was that paid?
  - A. No.
- Q. And did you -- did it occur to you that perhaps there's a misunderstanding?
  - A. No, because we asked about payment; we were

```
Objection to form.
1
                 MR. BUTKOVITZ:
2
                 MR. FINA:
                           Objection to form.
3
                 THE WITNESS: Well, we could have stopped
4
     work, but we were constantly assured that we were going
5
     to be paid, and we operated in good faith on that basis.
6
     BY MR. SCHLAFLY:
7
                 Did you continue to do the same amount of
          Q.
8
     work from October 21st or thereabouts, 2016 and April of
     2018? Is that what you meant?
9
                 '17. I stand corrected.
10
          Α.
11
          0.
                 That's fine.
12
                 I stand corrected.
          Α.
13
                 That's fine.
          0.
14
          Α.
                 Yeah. Just in case there's any question, I
15
     said 2018. It should have been 2017. The years all
16
     kind of run together.
17
                           And when you terminated work
                 MR. FINA:
     under this contract?
18
19
                 THE WITNESS: Right. Correct.
     BY MR. SCHLAFLY:
20
2.1
                 That's fine. Did you do the same amount of
          Ο.
22
     work, roughly, without any reduction in work from
23
     October 21st, 2016 or thereabouts and April of 2017?
24
          Α.
                 To the middle to end of March, yes.
2.5
                 Did you deliver any video --
          0.
```

- 1 Α. No. 2 0. -- to --3 Nor would we in ordinary course. Α. 4 Why not? 0. 5 Α. That's simply not our practice, nor is it an 6 industry practice at all to deliver a video before it's 7 completed. You wouldn't do that. 8 Q. Okay. Was the video ever completed? 9 The -- the project for Mrs. Schlafly's Α. testimonial or memorial or whatever, no. 10 11 0. Okay. 12 Came very close to it, but we did not -- we Α. 13 did not finally conclude it because we hadn't been paid 14 at that point. And Mr. Martin knew the status of it. 15 We reported it to him regularly. Did -- was the video interview of Faith 16 Q. 17 Whittlesey ever completed? 18 Α. Yes. 19 That was completed? Q. 20 Α. Yes.
  - Q. Did you deliver that?

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

A. No. As I say, we would not have delivered any partial video until the final project was completed. Hers -- her interview was part of a series of interviews that we did in preparation and production of the

- 1 Initially, they were not going to allow us to do that.
  2 They were going to charge us to do that.
  - Q. Do you know what time of day that was, roughly?
    - A. It was in the afternoon.
  - Q. Have you ever referred any potential donors to Ed Martin or any of the Eagle Forum entities?
  - A. I don't know. We held a reception for Eagle Forum in Washington D.C., and there were folks that came there that, you know, may have turned out to be donors or were quote/unquote potential donors. But specifically, I wasn't taking folks out to meet Ed Martin who were potential donors.
  - Q. Are you in touch with donors in the course of your other work at Quantum Communications --
  - A. Sure.
    - Q. -- people that donate to political causes?
- 18 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

- 19 Q. Have you ever referred any of them to any of the Eagle Forum entities?
- A. Specifically said why don't you go make a contribution to Eagle Forum?
  - Q. (Nodded his head.)
- 24 A. Not that I recall.
- 25 Q. Do you have a regular radio or television

I, Colleen V. Wentz, RMR, CRR, hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence noted are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me during the course of this deposition, and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

Colleen V. Wentz, BMR, CRR Court Reporter, Notary Public

> Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Notary Seal Colleen Victoria Wentz, Notary Public Snyder County My commission expires June 8, 2022 Commission number 1029397

Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries

The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.